

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,268	KLEINSCHMIDT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SCOTT LONG	1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SCOTT LONG. (3) ____.
 (2) VIOLA KUNG. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 11 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called the applicant's representative to inform her that the claims contain allowable subject matter and to seek permission for examiner's amendment. The applicant gave permission for an examiner's amendment. In particular, claim 18 was incorporated into claim 11. Minor claim amendments were made to clarify the meaning of the claim 11.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/SCOTT LONG/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1633